

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/656,085 09/06/2000		Jerome Cros	2809.1	8870
	590 04/24/2002 CK CELLA HARPER	EXAMINER		
30 ROCKEFEI NEW YORK, I		TAMAI, KARL I		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834	
1			DATE MAILED: 04/24/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•					N N		
		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/656,08	35	CROS ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Tamai IE I		2834			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - External after - If the - If No - Failt - Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the provision of 37 of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the provision of the pro	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever ation. 1ys, a reply within the state the property and with the state the apply and with the state that	ent, however, may a reply buttory minimum of thirty (30 ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS lication to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communioned (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>04 February 20</u>	<u>002</u> .				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is	non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the app						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 5-14 is/are with	thdrawn from cons	sideration.				
5)□	S) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 15-28</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 September 2000</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
,	The oath or declaration is objected to by	y ine Examiner.					
-	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			40() (1) (5)			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
а) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
	 a) The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for 	uage provisional a	pplication has beer	n received.			
Attachme							
2) No	tice of References Cited (PTO-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape			nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). rmal Patent Application (PTO-15			

Application/Control Number: 09/656,085

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I in Paper No. 9 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the grounds that the inventions are to the same invention with different scope of coverage is not persausive. The invention of claim 1 is directed to the connection of a plurality of coils on the rotor teeth to different commutator segments. This limitation is not found in the second invention which is directed to the specific ratio or stator poles and rotor slots. Similarly the stator pole to rotor slot ratio of the second group is not included in the first group. The specific omission of these limitations from the other group of claims indicates that Applicant considers them to be separately patentable and distinct inventions. Claims 5-14 are withdrawn from further consideration, as being drawn to a nonelected Group II.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the stator teeth and coils, the round profile, the oval profile, the circular profile must be shown or the features canceled from the claims. No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 09/656,085

Art Unit: 2834

Specification

3. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-4 and 15-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 1-4 and 17-28 recite the limitation "the terminals". There is not antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims. The examiner notes it is unclear if "the terminals" refers to the terminals of a single coil or the plurality of coils. For the purposes of advancing prosecution on the merits, the examiner will assume that "the terminals" refers to the terminals of different coils. Claim 15 recites the limitation "the magnetic circuit". Claim 16 recites the limitation "the stator teeth".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 09/656,085 Page 4

Art Unit: 2834

7. Claims 1, 3, and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Klein (US 4,329,610). Klein teaches a rotor teeth forming 12 coil slots and 24 commutator bars. The teeth having a plurality of coils having which are connected to different commutator bars. It is inherent that the stator has at least 2P poles(a north and south). Klein teaches the laminated rotor is conventional. The examiner takes Official Notice that a conventional lamination is ferromagnetic. The terminals of each of the coils are connected to different commutator sections as well as the plurality of coils are connected to different commutator sections. The examiner notes that the preamble does not carry patentable weight in the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 2, 15, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klein, in further view of Ward (US 5,121,021). Klein teaches every aspect of the invention except the motor having a permanent magnet stator with a magnetic core and the magnetic circuit having a metal powder. Ward teaches a permanent magnet motor with a soft magnetic core for supporting permanent magnets. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to construct the

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/656,085

Art Unit: 2834

motor of Klein with the permanent magnet stator of Ward to provide a field magnet for the motor with reduce eddy current losses.

- 10. Claims 16 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klein and Ward, in further view of Wong et al. (Wong) (US 5,304,885). Klein and Ward teach every aspect of the invention except the center part of the rotor/stator teeth having rounded edges. Wong teaches rotor poles with rounded edges. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to construct the motor of Klein and Ward with rounded edges on the rotor poles because Wong teaches rounded edges improves airflow and prevents carbon dust build up.
- 11. Claims 17-19 and 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klein and Ward, in further view of Case et al. (Case) (US 3,095,515). Klein and Ward teach every aspect of the invention, except the poles having the same axial length as the coil with the tips being axially longer, and the commutator extending under the tips. Case teaches the tips 48, 50 axially longer than the poles with the commutator 54 extending under the tips to allow for electrical connection with the coils. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to construct the motor of Klein and Ward with the commutator and poles of Case to provide a small motor.

Application/Control Number: 09/656,085

Art Unit: 2834

- 12. Claims 20 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klein and Ward, in further view of Katagiri (US 5,949,172). Klein and Ward teach every aspect of the invention, except the skew pole tips on unskewed teeth. Katagiri teaches skewed pole tips in figures 10a and 10b on unskewed teeth. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to construct the motor of Klein and Ward with the commutator and poles of skewed poles of Katagiri to prevent cogging.
- 13. Claims 27 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klein, in further view of Tanaka et al. (Tanaka) (US 6,057,626). Klein and Ward teach every aspect of the invention, except the equalizer connected to the commutator. Tanaka teaches an equalizer to connect segments with the same potential. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to construct the motor of Klein with the equalizer on the commutator to connect segments of the same potential.
- 14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl I.E. Tamai at (703) 305-7066. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Nestor Ramirez, can be reached at (703)308-1371. The facsimile number for the Group is (703)305-3432. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist at (703) 303-2956AI

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Karl I Tamai PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER April 20, 2002 Page 6